

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,693	10/25/2000	William Fitzpatrick	74622-037	3242	
21890 PROSKAUER PATENT DEP	ARTMENT			EXAMINER CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
1585 BROAD' NEW YORK,	WAY NY 10036-8299		ART UNIT 3695	PAPER NUMBER	
			3093		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/696,693	FITZPATRICK E	ΓAL.				
interview Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3695					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI</u> .	(3)						
(2) Atty. Todd A. Gerety.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>08 January 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Shcein, Wallman</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed the prior art, proposed amendment language, support in the specification and the basis of the examiner's obviousness rationale regarding the limitations in claim 1 and amendment language being considered by Applicant. The examiner will await Applicant's formal response to the final rejection of existing claims.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695							